

The relationship between interpersonal sensitivity and relational aggression with the mediating role of resilience in married people with multiple sclerosis

By Seyedeh Shaghayegh Ahmadi

26

The relationship between interpersonal sensitivity and relational aggression with the mediating role of resilience in married people with multiple sclerosis

Seyede Shaghayegh Ahmadi¹, Mohammad Sajjad Afsharzada², Sajjad Saadat³,
Fatemeh Karimian⁴

1. Department of Psychology, Rasht Branch, Islamic Azad University, Rasht, Iran.
2. Department of Culture and Society (IKOS), Linköping University, Sweden.
3. Neuroscience Research Center, Guilan University of Medical Sciences, Rasht, Iran.
4. Department of psychology, University of Guilan, Guilan, Iran.

1
Corresponding author: Sajjad Saadat; E-mail: sajjadsaadat69@gmail.com

Abstract

3
Purpose: The purpose of this study was to explore how interpersonal sensitivity and relational aggression are related among married people who have multiple sclerosis (MS), and whether resilience plays a mediating role in this relationship.

10
28
Materials and methods: This research was a cross-sectional analytical correlation study. In this study, 234 people with MS were selected by convenience sampling method and responded to the research questionnaires. In order to collect data, the couple relationship aggression and victimization scale (CRAViS), interpersonal sensitivity scale (IPSM), and resilience scale (CD-RISC) were used.

18
Results: The results showed that the proposed model has good processing ($\chi^2/df=1.29$, CFI=.98, RMSEA=.03). Path analysis results showed that interpersonal sensitivity didn't have a significant direct effect on communicative aggression ($\beta=.132$, $p=.096$); But interpersonal sensitivity has a negative and significant effect on resilience ($\beta=-.803$, $p=.001$) and resilience has a negative and significant effect ($\beta=-.575$, $p=.001$) on communicative aggression. Based on this, it can be said that resilience plays a full

mediating role between interpersonal sensitivity and relational aggression ($\beta=.461$, $p=.001$).

Conclusion: Overall, it can be concluded that interpersonal sensitivity, due to its negative on resilience, can increase aggressive behaviors in people who suffer from MS, based on this, the implementation of educational and therapeutic interventions for the Improvement of interpersonal sensitivity and resilience is suggested.

Keywords: interpersonal sensitivity, aggression, ⁴resilience, multiple sclerosis

1. Introduction

MS is an autoimmune disorder that attacks the central nervous system (CNS) and is the most prevalent neurological disability(1). It often causes serious physical and cognitive impairments and neurological problems in young adults. Some of the neurological dysfunctions that result from this disease are vision disorders, fatigue, ataxia, autonomic and sensorimotor deficits, emotional problems, and thinking problems (2). Nearly half of people with MS report problems with personal communication due to chronic pain (3). Many long-term relationships are already formed when MS usually starts in early adulthood. Previous research has indicated that ³the physical and psychological effects of MS can have a profound impact on couples and their relationships over time (4). A review of studies indicates that most have very severe aggressive symptoms when the disease comes back(5).

Aggression is ³defined as the intention to harm another person through force or domination (6). Research has shown that aggressive people have deficits in social problem-solving and emotional regulation (7), and also encounter many problems in relation to peers and important people in their lives (8). Researchers have expanded the concept of aggression in the last twenty years to include non-physical acts that harm a person's social status among their peers, and this is known as relational aggression (9).

Harming one's spouse by manipulating one's social relationships, such as spreading rumors, provoking jealousy, or withdrawing love and affection, is a form of aggression in intimate relationships. This is called implicit relational aggression (10). Crick's research (11) Relational aggression is when someone tries to hurt others by ruining their

relationships and manipulating them (12). Relational aggression damages or threatens social status, reputation, or relationships through social manipulation tools such as threats to leave friendships, ignoring relationships, and exclusion groups (13). Depending on its function, relational aggression can be divided into proactive, planned, targeted relational aggression (e.g., spreading rumors to make yourself famous more), and reactive aggression which is impulsive and done out of anger, usually in retaliation for a Perceived insult is done, divided (14). Relational aggression remains important in adulthood and produces negative correlates including anxiety, depression, loneliness, stress, academic burnout, alcohol abuse, impulse control poor energy, anger dysfunction, eating disorders and peer rejection (15).

Interpersonal sensitivity is a condition that leads to misperceptions of other people's behavior, such as others not taking them seriously or treating them in a way they would approve of. Therefore, they intentionally avoid social interactions in environments where other people are present (16). These people's inner and outer changes, such as psychological distress and trait aggression, are influenced by changes and challenges in their environment and relationships. They often face long-term negative outcomes, such as lower academic performance (17) and They have long-term ²² physical and mental health troubles such as depression, anxiety, and depression. nervousness, tension, and aggression(18). Studies have shown that excessive interpersonal sensitivity can lead to a tendency toward violence and cruelty (19).

Resilience is the skill to recover and go back to a normal state after facing terrible, lifelong situations (20). This structure helps people cope well with difficult living situations (21). Resilience has a dynamic nature, its intensity always varying (22). Adaptive resilience means growing, changing, and finding ² balance after facing problems. Resilience is when humans adapt well when they have ² stresses and problems. This definition of resilience shows the structural causes and processes that need complex interactions between risk factors and protectors. Early theories of resilience focused on traits related to the positive outcomes of wandering, aggression, and unhappiness in life (23). Clinical psychologists have recently studied models of recovery in situations ² of disability, disaster, depression, aggression, and pain. The results of these studies show the positive, helpful, and

protective effects of resilience in adapting well and becoming more adaptable to stressful conditions (24).

Psychological resilience with forgiveness(25), perceived social support, self-understanding and interpersonal conflict resolution skills(26), self-confidence(27), and relationships with positive peers (28), may be a sensitive interpersonal scale. there is a positive correlation; Although it is negatively correlated with social anxiety and loneliness (26), hopelessness, life-threatening behaviors, and lack of social connection (29). Resilience is the skill to bounce back, achieve positive results, and even make positive social changes when facing health crises (30). Based on the conceptual knowledge of the articles, resilience is a unique source and positive psychological quality (31). Researchers say that young people's inner resources help them to be less sensitive to others (32). In general, it is found that there is a conceptual relationship between psychological resilience and interpersonal sensitivity.

Relational aggression is an important problem in interpersonal relationships, which has been linked to interpersonal sensitivity and resilience based on previous research, but when considering the context, there has been no research examining this issue in terms of structural equation modeling. The purpose of this study was to explore how interpersonal sensitivity and relational aggression are related to resilience as a mediator in married people who have multiple sclerosis. The researchers hope that this study will help to better understand these variables and use the findings in clinical situations.

2. Method

This is a cross-sectional analytical correlation study. The statistical population of this study included people with MS in Guilan province in 2022. In this study, 234 people were selected according to the convenience sampling method and answered the research questions. The recommended minimum sample size for a path analysis study was 200 people, which was increased to 234 people for greater certainty. People participate in online surveys via virtual social networks and answer questions. Consent and interest in participating in the study, ability to read and write fluently, absence of other autoimmune diseases, absence of severe mobility or vision problems, and age between 18 to 55 years were considered is the admission criteria. Additionally, refusal to cooperate was

considered an exit criterion. All subjects participated in this study with full informed consent. All subjects were also guaranteed confidentiality. Furthermore, all individuals participated in this study voluntarily and with full knowledge of the study objectives. For data analysis, SPSS and Amos software version 24 were used.

Tools

1- Couple Relationship Aggression and Victimization Scale (CRAViS): This instrument is used to measure relational aggression. This scale was created by Nelson and Carroll (33) and consists of 12 questions. There are 6 questions to measure emotional withdrawal (questions 1 to 6) and 6 questions to measure social image destruction (questions 7 to 12). This scale is rated on a 7-point Likert scale from (1 = completely false to 7 = completely true). The score range for this scale is from 12 to 84. Carroll and colleagues (33) reported that the Cronbach's alpha coefficient for this scale was 0.93. This scale was standardized by Khazaei and colleagues (34) in Iran. Two factors (emotional withdrawal and deterioration of social image) were confirmed in the Iranian sample.

2- Interpersonal Sensitivity Scale (IPSM): The Interpersonal Sensitivity Questionnaire was devised by Boyce and Parker (15) to measure basic aspects of the concept of interpersonal sensitivity. This questionnaire includes 36 questions, including 5 subscales, answered on a 4-point Likert scale. These five factors include interpersonal perception, need for approval, separation anxiety, shyness, and the fragility of one's inner self. Studies of the IPSM have shown good psychometric evidence, such that internal consistency, retest reliability, and high convergent and divergent validity have been reported. Boyce and Parker (15) reported a retest reliability coefficient of 0.85 for the total score and coefficients ranging from 0.55 to 0.76 for its subscales. In Iran, the reliability of the Interpersonal Sensitivity Scale obtained using the Cronbach's alpha method was 0.8 and its validity was also confirmed (35).

3- Connor and Davidson Resilience scale (CD-RISC): Connor and Davidson (36) designed a resilience scale to measure stress management ability. This resilience scale includes 25 items rated on a Likert scale (completely false = 0, rarely true = 1, sometimes true = 2, often true = 3, and always true = 4) and a total points form the scale point. The

lowest score is 0 and the highest score is 100. Higher scores on this scale represent higher levels of resilience. The results of preliminary studies regarding the psychometric properties of this scale confirm its reliability and validity. In a study conducted by Seyed Reza Poorseyed (37), the Cronbach's alpha coefficient of the resilience scale was 0.92, indicating internal consistency between items and high correlation of questions in this scale and thus the reliability of this scale. This tool is very convenient.

3. Results

In this study, 234 people with MS (176 women) with a mean and standard deviation of age of 37.88±9.34 years participated in this study. Table 1 presents the descriptive findings and Pearson correlation coefficients between the main variables.

Table 1. Descriptive findings and Pearson correlation coefficients

Variables	Mean	SD	Skewness	Kurtosis	1	2
1- CRAViS	43.99	10.78	-.356	-.496	1	
2- IPISM	103.70	19.14	1.016	1.147	.595**	1
3- CD-RISC	58.97	19.50	-.350	-.121	-.661**	-.778**

Note: CRAViS = Couples Relational Aggression and Victimization Scale; IPISM = The Interpersonal Sensitivity Measurement; CD-RISC Connor-Davidson Resilience Scale; SD= Std. Deviation.

Since the values of the skewness and kurtosis indices are within ± 2 , the data are normally distributed. The results of Pearson's correlation coefficient test showed that relational aggression had a positive and significant relationship with interpersonal sensitivity ($r=0.595$), but on the other hand, relational aggression had negative and significant relationship with resilience ($r=-0.661$); There is also a negative relationship between resilience and interpersonal sensitivity ($r=-.778$) ($P<0.05$). In order to investigate the mediating role of resilience in the relationship between interpersonal sensitivity and communicative aggression, structural equation modeling was used. Before their implementation, the amount of autocorrelation in the residuals was reported as favorable using Durbin-Watson's test (1.78). Additionally, there was no multicollinearity (collinearity) of the predictor variables. The fit indices were presented for structural equation modeling;

The results showed that the proposed model has a good fit ($\chi^2/df=1.29$, CFI=.98, RMSEA=.03). Also, the path coefficients are presented in Figure 1 and Table 2.




Figure 1: Relationship model of interpersonal sensitivity and communication aggression with the mediating role of resilience

As seen in Figure 1, interpersonal sensitivity with the mediation of resilience explains a total of 47% of the variance of relational aggression.

Table 2. Estimate of the direct and indirect effects

Standardized direct effect				
Path	Estimate	CR	p-value	
IPSM → CD-RISC	-.803	-18.048	.001	
IPSM → CRAViS	.134	1.553	.096	
CD-RISC → CRAViS	-.575	-6.439	.001	

4

Standardized indirect effect

Path	Estimate	95% CI of the difference		p-value
		Lower bounds	Upper bounds	
IPSM → CD-RISC → CRAViS	.461	.303	.612	.001

Path analysis results showed that interpersonal sensitivity doesn't have a significant direct effect on communicative aggression ($\beta = 0.132$, $p = 0.096$); But interpersonal sensitivity has a negative and significant effect on resilience ($\beta = -.803$, $p = .001$) and resilience has a negative and significant effect ($\beta = -.575$, $p = .001$) for aggressive communication. Based on this, it can be said that resilience plays a full mediating role between interpersonal sensitivity and relational aggression ($\beta = .461$, $p = .001$).

4. Discussion and conclusion

13

This study was conducted with the aim of investigating the relationship between interpersonal sensitivity and relational aggression with the mediating role of resilience in married individuals with multiple sclerosis. The results showed that interpersonal sensitivity does not have a significant effect directly on relational aggression; But the analysis of the linear relationship showed that there is a positive relationship between interpersonal sensitivity and aggression, in other words, an increase in interpersonal sensitivity is associated with an increase in aggression. The current results are consistent with research (16, 18, 17). To explain, it can be said that interpersonal sensitivity increases the likelihood of behaviors such as relational aggression leading to unpleasant experiences. Hypersensitivity to interpersonal interactions is one of the psychological features of the prepsychotic phase that can lead to aggression. Interpersonal sensitivity produces negative emotional states that contribute to long-term deficits in social functioning. Another result shows that interpersonal sensitivity has a negative impact on resilience, in fact, an increase in interpersonal sensitivity is associated with a decrease in resilience. The present results are consistent with studies (30, 26, 29). To explain, it can be said that interpersonal sensitivity reduces people's ability to cope with stress, which people with interpersonal sensitivity are concerned, and resilience is considered as a positive social change in the face of a health crisis if interpersonal sensitivity causes negative social changes. Another result showed that resilience is negatively related to

aggression; in fact, increased resilience is associated with reduced aggression. The present results are consistent with studies (13, 26, 24). To explain, it can be said that since psychological resilience is positively correlated with perceived social support and interpersonal conflict resolution skills, it reduces aggressive behaviors relationships that damage or threaten social status, reputation, or relationships through tools of social manipulation. such as threatening to leave friendships, ignoring relationships, and depriving oneself from the group, shows the **positive, constructive, and protective effects of resilience in successful adaptation and increased ability to adapt to stressful situations**, which is a sub-branch of covert relational aggression.

Another result suggests that resilience plays a mediating role between interpersonal sensitivity and relational aggression; In fact, interpersonal sensitivity can influence a person's level of resilience and thus provide a basis for aggression. Interpersonal sensitivity is actually a barrier to the development of resilience, because resilience implies the existence of flexibility and self-recovery in the face of stressful situations and difficulties, while high interpersonal sensitivity can cause a person to over-involve emotions and short-term outcomes of events and prevent effective coping with stressful situations. Sampling availability and use of an online survey form are important limitations of this study. Based on this, attention to these limitations in future studies is suggested; Furthermore, **this study was conducted using a cross-sectional method, on the** basis of which a cause-and-effect relationship cannot be established. In a real-life context, it has been suggested that to improve interpersonal relationships and reduce aggression in people with MS, appropriate educational and therapeutic interventions aimed at improving interpersonal sensitivity and resilience.

References

1. Dutta R, Trapp BD. Relapsing and progressive forms of multiple sclerosis—insights from pathology. *Current opinion in neurology*. 2014;27(3):271.
2. Compston A, Winedl H, Kieseier BC. Coles. Multiple sclerosis. *Lancet*. 2008;372:1502-17.

3. Herbert LB, Zerkowski K, O'Brien S, Leonard KV, Bhowmick A. Impact on interpersonal relationships among patients with multiple sclerosis and their partners. *Neurodegenerative disease management*. 2019;9(3):173-87.
4. Valvano AK, Rollock MJ, Hudson WH, Goodworth MC, Lopez E, Stepleman L. Sexual communication, sexual satisfaction, and relationship quality in people with multiple sclerosis. *Rehabilitation Psychology*. 2018;63(2):267.
5. Lublin FD, Reingold SC, Cohen JA, Cutter GR, Sørensen PS, Thompson AJ, Wolinsky JS, Balcer LJ, Banwell B, Barkhof F, Bebo B. Defining the clinical course of multiple sclerosis: the 2013 revisions. *Neurology*. 2014;83(3):278-86.
6. Dodge KA, Coie JD, Lynam D, Damon W, Lerner RM, Eisenberg N. Aggression and antisocial behavior in youth. *Child and adolescent development: An advanced course*. 2008:437-72.
7. Crick NR, Dodge KA. A review and reformulation of social information-processing mechanisms in children's social adjustment. *Psychological bulletin*. 1994;115(1):74.
8. Leff SS, Power TJ, Manz PH, Costigan TE, Nabors LA. School-based aggression prevention programs for young children: Current status and implications for violence prevention. *School psychology review*. 2001;30(3):344-62.
9. Crick NR, Ostrov JM, Kawabata Y. Relational aggression and gender: An overview. In D. J. Flannery, A. T. Vazsonyi, & I. D. Waldman (Eds.), *The Cambridge handbook of violent behavior and aggression* (pp. 245–259). Cambridge University Press. 2007.
10. Moroń M, Mandal E. Reinforcement sensitivity, approach and avoidance goals and relational aggression in romantic relationships. *Personality and individual differences*. 2021;168:110381.
11. Crick NR, Nelson DA. Relational and physical victimization within friendships: Nobody told me there'd be friends like these. *Journal of abnormal child psychology*. 2002;30:599-607.
12. Gomes MM. A concept analysis of relational aggression. *Journal of Psychiatric and Mental Health Nursing*. 2007;14(5):510-5.

13. Werner NE, Crick NR. Relational aggression and social-psychological adjustment in a college sample. *Journal of abnormal psychology*. 1999;108(4):615.
14. Knight NM, Dahlen ER, Bullock-Yowell E, Madson MB. The HEXACO model of personality and Dark Triad in relational aggression. *Personality and Individual Differences*. 2018;122:109-14.
15. Boyce P, Parker G. Development of a scale to measure interpersonal sensitivity. *Australian and New Zealand Journal of Psychiatry*. 1989;23(3):341-51.
16. Bruffaerts R, Mortier P, Kiekens G, Auerbach RP, Cuijpers P, Demyttenaere K, Green JG, Nock MK, Kessler RC. Mental health problems in college freshmen: Prevalence and academic functioning. *Journal of Affective Disorders*. 2018; 225:97-103.
17. Scott KM, Lim C, Al-Hamzawi A, Alonso J, Bruffaerts R, Caldas-de-Almeida JM, Florescu S, De Girolamo G, Hu C, De Jonge P, Kawakami N. Association of mental disorders with subsequent chronic physical conditions: world mental health surveys from 17 countries. *JAMA psychiatry*. 2016;73(2):150-8.
18. Masillo A, Day F, Laing J, Howes O, Fusar-Poli P, Byrne M, Bhattacharyya S, Nastro PF, Girardi P, McGuire PK, Valmaggia LR. Interpersonal sensitivity in the at-risk mental state for psychosis. *Psychological medicine*. 2012;42(9):1835-45.
19. Prince-Embury S, Saklofske DH, Vesely AK. Measures of resiliency. In *Measures of personality and social psychological constructs 2015* (pp. 290-321). Academic Press.
20. Langer N. Resiliency and spirituality: Foundations of strengths perspective counseling with the elderly. *Educational Gerontology*. 2004; 30(7):611-7.
21. Waller MA. Resilience in ecosystemic context: Evolution of the concept. *American Journal of Orthopsychiatry*. 2001;71(3):290-7.
22. Hosseini M, Elias H, Krauss SE, Aishah S. A review study on spiritual intelligence, adolescence and spiritual intelligence, factors that may contribute to individual differences in spiritual intelligence and the related theories. *Journal of Social Sciences*. 2010;6(3):429-38.

23. Hamid N, Babamiri M, Dehghani M. The relationship between mental health, spiritual intelligence with resiliency in students of Kermanshah University of Medical Sciences. *Jentashapir J Cell Mol Biol.* 2012;3(2): 331-338.
24. Çapan BE, Arıcıoğlu A. Forgiveness as predictor of psychological resiliency. *E-International Journal of Educational Research.* 2014;5(4):70-82.
25. Bilgin O. Relationship Between Interpersonal Sensitivity and Psychological Resilience. *International Online Journal of Educational Sciences.* 2020;12(5).
26. Elsel D. Yetişkin bireylerin özgüvenleri ile psikolojik sağlık düzeyleri arasındaki ilişki [The relationship between self-confidence and resilience among adults](Master's thesis, n dokuz Mayıs University, Samsun, Turkey).
27. Peltonen K, Qouta S, Diab M, Punamäki RL. Resilience among children in war: The role of multilevel social factors. *Traumatology.* 2014;20(4):232.
28. Rew L, Taylor-Seehafer M, Thomas NY, Yockey RD. Correlates of resilience in homeless adolescents. *Journal of nursing scholarship.* 2001;33(1):33-40.
29. Khanlou N, Wray R. A whole community approach toward child and youth resilience promotion: A review of resilience literature. *International journal of mental health and addiction.* 2014;12:64-79.
30. Ding J, Jia Y, Zhao J, Yang F, Ma R, Yang X. Optimizing quality of life among Chinese physicians: the positive effects of resilience and recovery experience. *Quality of Life Research.* 2020;29:1655-63.
31. Zhang Y, Sun Q. How Interpersonal Sensitivity Affects Depression Under the COVID-19 Lockdown Among College Students in South China: A Moderated Mediation Model. *Psychology Research and Behavior Management.* 2023:1271-82.
32. Nelson DA, Carroll JS. Couples relational aggression and victimization scale (CRAViS). Provo, UT: RELATE Institute. 2006.
33. Carroll JS, Nelson DA, Yorgason JB, Harper JM, Ashton RH, Jensen AC. Relational aggression in marriage. *Aggressive Behavior.* 2010;36(5):315-29.

34. Khazaei S, Navaninezhad S, Farzad VA, Zaharakar K. Prediction Covert Relational Aggression (social sabotage and love withdrawal) Based on Attachment Styles in Married Women in Birjand: With Shame & Guilt as Mediator Variables. *Journal of Woman and Family Studies*. 2017; 1 (5): 103-129.
35. Narimani M, Porzoor P, Basharpour S. Comparison of interpersonal sensitivity and emotional balance in students with and without specific learning disorder. *Journal of Learning Disabilities*. 2015 Nov 22;5(1):125-41.
36. Connor KM, Davidson JR. Development of a new resilience scale: The Connor-Davidson resilience scale (CD-RISC). *Depression and anxiety*. 2003;18(2):76-82.
37. Poorseyed S. The mediating role of hope and resilience in the relationship between Corona phobia and Emotional intimacy of married women. *Journal of Woman and Family Studies*. 2023;11(1):128-55.